

附錄 G
公眾論壇摘要
(只提供英文版)

**Tung Chung New Town Extension Study
Stage 3 Public Engagement
Gist of Public Forum**

Date: 11 October 2014

Time: 14:00 – 17:30

Venue: YMCA of Hong Kong Christian College, 2 Chung Yat Road, Tung Chung

Representatives from the HKSAR Government and consultant:

Dr Daman Lee, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited

Ms Theresa Yeung, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited

Mr Franki Chiu, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited

Ms K C Woo, Assistant Director of Planning (New Territories District Planning Division), Planning Department, HKSAR

Mr David KC Lo, Chief Engineer/Islands, Hong Kong Island and Islands Development Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department

Expert panel:

Prof Rebecca Chiu Lai-har, JP, Department of Urban Planning and Design, University of Hong Kong

Dr Ng Cho-nam, SBS, JP, Department of Geography, University of Hong Kong

Prof So Wai-man, Raymond, Dean, School of Business, Hang Seng Management College

Facilitator:

Mr Adrian Cheung

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
1	Introduction 1.1 The facilitator encouraged the audience to submit questions for Q & A session. 1.2 The facilitator welcomed guests and audience to the forum. 1.3 The facilitator introduced attending guests to audience.	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
2	<p>Welcoming remarks by Ms K C Woo, Assistant Director of Planning (New Territories District Planning Division), Planning Department, HKSAR</p> <p>2.1 Ms Woo thanked the public for their comments on the previous two stages of Public Engagement.</p> <p>2.2 Ms Woo briefly introduced the aims of the forum and the details of the Study.</p> <p>2.3 Ms Woo encouraged the audience to express their views and the Study Team would utilise the comments received to revise the plans.</p>	
3	<p>Presentation by Ms Theresa Yeung, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited</p> <p>3.1 Ms Yeung thanked the audience for attending the forum and their comments on the previous two stages of Public Engagement.</p> <p>3.2 Ms Yeung introduced the public opinion collected in previous stages of Public Engagement, which have been considered in the planning for Tung Chung.</p> <p>3.3 Ms Yeung introduced the framework for planning and emphasised the importance to integrate different parts of Tung Chung into one.</p> <p>3.4 Ms Yeung introduced in detail the development, economic opportunities, connectivity and provisions on public transportation in the proposed plans of Tung Chung.</p> <p>3.5 Ms Yeung also described the urban design and land use planning in the Study. She emphasised how the concept of conservation, environmental protection and balanced development are applied in the Study.</p> <p>3.6 Ms Yeung explained the key considerations and the framework of urban design, such as types of housing, environmental conditions and the needs of rural villagers.</p> <p>3.7 Ms Yeung outlined the draft Recommended Outline Development Plan for Tung Chung East and the locations of the proposed government institutions and community facilities. The needs for schools and sport grounds are well-acknowledged.</p> <p>3.8 Ms Yeung presented the planning of the local commercial development and potential job opportunities. She emphasised the potentials of Tung Chung with the surrounding development.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
4	<p data-bbox="331 240 1509 276">Presentation by Dr Daman Lee, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited</p> <p data-bbox="331 296 1335 331">4.1 Dr Lee introduced the findings of the Primarily Technical Assessment.</p> <p data-bbox="331 352 1469 387">4.2 Dr Lee acknowledged the public comments on the technical aspects of the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 408 1767 580">4.3 Dr Lee pointed out that, after considering series of factors, the air quality after the implementation of the plans would be acceptable because of the measures of the Government in reducing key emissions from both Hong Kong and Pearl River Delta. He briefed the potential emission sources and the key air pollutants. He also outlined the assessment methods of air quality and the locations of the affected air sensitive receivers.</p> <p data-bbox="331 601 1771 675">4.4 Dr Lee explained the water quality assessment and stated that the change of water quality in Tai Ho Wan during reclamations in Tung Chung is minimal.</p> <p data-bbox="331 695 1688 801">4.5 Dr Lee explained the results of the preliminary ecological survey and detailed the ecological and environmental consideration in the Study. Particular attention was given to Tung Chung River to protect the ecology and water recourses.</p> <p data-bbox="331 821 1742 927">4.6 Dr Lee explained the treatment strategy for storm water in Tung Chung. It is part of the wider drainage system dealing with storm water and attenuation. He also explained the mechanisms of treatment ponds.</p> <p data-bbox="331 948 1771 1021">4.7 Dr Lee stated that, with the assistance from Noise Exposure Forecast (NEF) 25 contour, the results of the Preliminary Traffic Assessment for aircraft noise have been acceptable.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1042 1771 1147">4.8 Dr Lee explained the traffic impact assessment, and stated that the impacts of the development on the traffic flow in, for instance, Tat Tung Road, North Lantau Highway, Yi Tung Road and Yu Tung Road, are minimal.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1168 1733 1241">4.9 Dr Lee pointed out that the services of Tung Chung Line, with certain improvement works, could deal with the expected peak hour flow with the increase of population in the future.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1262 1760 1367">4.10 Dr Lee acknowledged the comments received on relocating the residential development in Shek Mun Kap to the complex near Tung Chung East station, designating cultural facilities in Tung Chung West, and having limited development on the estuary of Tung Chung River.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>4.11 Dr Lee stated that the Study Team is exploring the opportunities to develop the inter-tidal area between Tung Chung and Ma Wan Chung.</p> <p>4.12 Dr Lee mentioned the comments received for the development of Tung Chung East, namely the traffic problems on North Lantau Highway, the construction of junctions to Tai Ho, the potential noise problem, the provisions of cycling tracks and the location of the marina.</p>	
5	<p>Comments from Ms CHAU Chuen-heung, Vice-chairman of the Islands District Council</p> <p>5.1 Ms Chau stated that it has been the demand of the public to continue the development and extension. The Study is a critical moment for a better planning of Tung Chung. She emphasised the importance to make Tung Chung a vibrant and livable city.</p> <p>5.2 Ms Chau suggested improving road network of Tung Chung so that both the internal connections and extension linkages with other parts of Hong Kong could be improved.</p> <p>5.3 Ms Chau hoped to have more municipal services buildings and government offices in Tung Chung West.</p> <p>5.4 Ms Chau pointed out the need to have tertiary institutions in Tung Chung.</p> <p>5.5 Ms Chau urged the Study Team to utilise and prioritise the existing land resources to carry out some parts of the plans in the Study to maintain continuous development.</p>	
6	<p>Q & A session</p> <p><u>Comment (1) from 譚雪兒</u></p> <p>6.1.1 譚雪兒 opined that the scale of proposed elderly centre was inadequate.</p> <p>6.1.2 譚雪兒 stated that women would be benefited from the provision of child care centres and therefore they could be available again to work.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 284 680 316"><u>Comment (2) from 林杏如</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 347 1751 469">6.2.1 林杏如 commented that the noise as resulted from the increase of population from the public housing development nearby might affect devotees in Prajna Dhyana Temple. She asked if there are any noise mitigation measures.</p> <p data-bbox="331 501 1581 533">6.2.2 林杏如 was concerned with the impacts of construction waste disposal towards the locals.</p> <p data-bbox="331 622 824 654"><u>Comment (3) from Mr Fredrick Wong</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 676 1447 708">6.3.1 Mr Fredrick Wong was satisfied with the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 730 1774 836">6.3.2 Mr Fredrick Wong enquired about the environmental protection measures in tackling the construction impacts of the development on Tung Chung West. Measures should also be formulated to minimise the pollution and impacts from construction.</p> <p data-bbox="331 909 680 941"><u>Comment (4) from 何嘉寶</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 979 1568 1011">6.4.1 何嘉寶 was happy with the withdrawal of the plans of reclamation in Tung Chung West.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1050 1738 1139">6.4.2 何嘉寶 questioned whether the Study Team has considered the service capacity of Tung Chung Line during peak hours, when would be much more crowded than it is after the extension of Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1171 1774 1292">6.4.3 何嘉寶 asked whether the future air quality would be acceptable with pollutants from 24-hour traffics of Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macau Bridge, since the emission standards of both Macau and China have been different from Hong Kong.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.4.4 何嘉寶 urged the Study Team to explain the designation of buffer zone between the residential development and conservation area.</p> <p>6.4.5 何嘉寶 suggested formulating measures of conservation for the low-tide area.</p>	
	<p><u>Comment (5) from 陳美娟</u></p> <p>6.5.1 陳美娟 was satisfied with the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p>6.5.2 陳美娟 hoped to have more leisure spaces, similar to Kowloon Park, in Tung Chung West.</p> <p>6.5.3 陳美娟 expressed the need to widen the roads and improve the road networks in Shek Mun Kap to cater for the future increase of traffic and tourists.</p> <p>6.5.4 陳美娟 suggested improving the connections between Tung Chung and Shek Mun Kap.</p> <p><u>Comment (6) from Ms Crystal Ho</u></p> <p>6.6.1 Ms Crystal Ho suggested having an exit of Tung Chung station near the hospital in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.6.2 Ms Crystal Ho suggested having more EMI schools under direct subsidy scheme in Tung Chung.</p> <p><u>Comment (7) from Ms Ho</u></p> <p>6.7.1 Ms Ho suggested having more elderly centres due to the increase of population.</p> <p>6.7.2 Ms Ho supported designating more green conservation areas in Tung Chung.</p> <p><u>Comment (8) from 林來</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.8.1 林來 expressed the urgent need to have public markets for people in Tung Chung.</p> <p><u>Comment (9) from Mr Tommy Fung</u></p> <p>6.9.1 Mr Tommy Fung hoped to improve the road traffic of North Lantau, to cater particularly for the future increase of tourists and the potential conflicts with the indigenous inhabitants.</p> <p>6.9.2 Mr Tommy Fung suggested having diversified choices of public transportation. Besides railway, bus services linking the inner parts of Tung Chung and other areas of Hong Kong should be strengthened.</p> <p>6.9.3 Mr Tommy Fung suggested having more point-to-point transportation services for tourists.</p> <p>6.9.4 Mr Tommy Fung suggested removing the toll plaza of the North Lantau Highway to lower the costs of travel to Tung Chung in the long term.</p> <p><u>Comment (10) from Ms Wong Wing Tam</u></p> <p>6.10.1 Ms Wong Wing Tam was satisfied with the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p>6.10.2 Ms Wong Wing Tam opined that the noise from the morning practices in Prajna Dhyana Temple might disturb residents in the nearby area. She hoped that the noise mitigation measures concerned could be strengthened.</p> <p>6.10.3 Ms Wong Wing Tam suggested extending the railway services to Shek Mun Kap for easy access of tourists and the locals.</p> <p>6.10.4 Ms Wong Wing Tam was worried that 150 bedspaces for the proposed elderly centre are not enough to meet the growing aged population.</p> <p>6.10.5 Ms Wong Wing Tam suggested having more car parks in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.10.6 Ms Wong Wing Tam mentioned that the bus services in Tung Chung should be enhanced to cater for the future increase of population.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 228 882 260"><u>Comment (11) from Ms Chan Kowk Wing</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 280 1738 384">6.11.1 Ms Chan Kowk Wing was concerned with the potential noise and air pollution aroused from the Hong Kong–Zhuhai–Macau Bridge and the surrounding development in Tung Chung and the related mitigation measures.</p> <p data-bbox="331 405 1744 477">6.11.2 Ms Chan Kowk Wing questioned whether the future increase of population would place a large burden to the existing services of Tung Chung Line.</p> <p data-bbox="331 497 696 529"><u>Comment (12) from 黃少羣</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 563 1704 651">6.12.1 黃少羣 supported the development in Tung Chung, and would like to see the implementation of the plans as soon as possible.</p> <p data-bbox="331 722 757 754"><u>Comment (13) from Anonymous</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 775 1245 807">6.13.1 He was satisfied with the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 828 1724 900">6.13.2 He wished the Study Team to improve the convenience of Shek Mun Kap and strengthen the existing bus services.</p> <p data-bbox="331 920 1196 952">6.13.3 He suggested having more street lighting in Shek Mun Kap.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1024 696 1056"><u>Comment (14) from 余顯碧</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1090 1738 1217">6.14.1 余顯碧 questioned whether Tung Chung could be qualified as a vibrant and livable city because of the serious air quality nowadays and the impacts brought by with the future Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macau Bridge and the expansion of Hong Kong International Airport.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1238 1697 1326">6.14.2 余顯碧 expressed her concerns on the impacts of traffic on air quality due to the different emission standards of vehicles from both China and Macau.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.14.3 余顯碧 concerned the impacts of noise and air pollutant emissions due to the future increase of traffic and population.</p> <p>6.14.4 余顯碧 mentioned that the future development would damage the surrounding natural valley and the environment of Tung Chung.</p> <p><u>Comment (15) from 謝貴英</u></p> <p>6.15.1 謝貴英 was dissatisfied with the responses from different government departments on their requests.</p> <p>6.15.2 謝貴英 expressed her serious concerns on the planning of road networks hoping to meet the future needs of both indigenous inhabitants and new population.</p> <p>6.15.3 謝貴英 opined that widening road networks near Shan Tou Village could alleviate the conflicts between indigenous inhabitants and tourists and lower the rate of accident.</p> <p><u>Comment (16) from Ms Siu</u></p> <p>6.16.1 Ms Siu suggested having enough buffering between Prajna Dhyana Temple and the nearby residential development. Noise mitigation and better positioning of the nearby residential development should be done properly.</p> <p>6.16.2 Ms Siu wished to have more parking spaces in Shek Mun Kap.</p> <p><u>Comment (17) from 林麗山</u></p> <p>6.17.1 林麗山 agreed on the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 236 1776 320">6.17.2 林麗山 hoped to have more leisure spaces in Tung Chung West. Opportunities for organic farming could be considered.</p> <p data-bbox="331 395 696 432"><u>Comment (18) from 曾育載</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 464 1760 549">6.18.1 曾育載 suggested having adequate buffering between Prajna Dhyana Temple and the nearby residential development.</p> <p data-bbox="331 580 1711 617">6.18.2 曾育載 hoped to have adequate measures on noise mitigation and maintaining air flow and sunlight.</p> <p data-bbox="331 699 775 735"><u>Comment (19) from Mr David Ho</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 751 1653 788">6.19.1 Mr David Ho supported the Government's efforts in considering public comments in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 804 1776 888">6.19.2 Mr David Ho was satisfied with the components in the proposed planning, particularly the designation of conservation area, low-density development and the marina.</p> <p data-bbox="331 948 763 984"><u>Comment (20) from Ms Rita Lau</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1000 1765 1112">6.20.1 Ms Rita Lau suggested that having a university in Tung Chung West, probably in the green belt near Shan Tou village, would attract young people to stay in Tung Chung to work. The proposed university could focus on subjects including ecotourism, hotel management and business administration.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1128 1765 1165">6.20.2 Ms Rita Lau hoped to improve the existing traffic conditions and transportation services in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1181 1733 1265">6.20.3 Ms Rita Lau wished to have proper relocation arrangement for NGOs in Tung Chung East to continue their provision on social welfare services.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1324 696 1361"><u>Comment (21) from 黃人傑</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.21.1 黃人傑 emphasised the importance of the local employment opportunities, which could help alleviate the demands on traffic.</p> <p>Reponses from the representative from the HKSAR Government</p> <p>6.1 Ms Woo thanked for the support from the audience on the Study.</p> <p>6.2 Ms Woo acknowledged the concerns from the public on the environment, provision of community facilities and public transportation.</p> <p>6.3 Ms Woo stated that Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) would be done to assess the potential impacts of the Study on the environment.</p> <p>6.4 Ms Woo stated that a more variety of choices on public transportation and road enhancement works would be done.</p> <p>6.5 Ms Woo stated that the future increase of population in Tung Chung would provide solid foundations for the provision of more community facilities.</p> <p><u>Comment (22) from 何加威</u></p> <p>6.22.1 何加威 questioned the compensation arrangement for indigenous inhabitants as they are deprived of the chance to build small houses again near Wong Nei Uk village.</p> <p>6.22.2 何加威 hoped that the height and density of the nearby R2 development could be lowered.</p> <p>6.22.3 何加威 was worried about the traffic congestion aroused from the reach of full capacity of Tat Tung Road.</p> <p><u>Comment (23) from 周劍清</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.23.1 周劍清 enquired about when the proposed Study will be carried out.</p> <p><u>Comment (24) from Mr Wong Kit Ying</u></p> <p>6.24.1 Mr Wong Kit Ying supported that reclamation in Tung Chung is a visible and desirable solution to increase land supply.</p> <p><u>Comment (25) from 黃正南</u></p> <p>6.25.1 黃正南 expressed his disagreement on designating the village area of Shan Tou village and Ngau Au village as conservation area.</p> <p><u>Comment (26) from 李少芬</u></p> <p>6.26.1 李少芬 opined that the proposed site for R2 development would not be large enough, and the associated construction for land acquisition would cause significant impacts on the environment, villagers in Wong Nei Uk village and the hillside graves.</p> <p><u>Comment (27) from 林敏朱</u></p> <p>6.27.1 林敏朱 was satisfied with the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p>6.27.2 林敏朱 hoped to have more elderly centres and child care centres in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.27.3 林敏朱 hoped to strengthen the existing services of minibus and have more parking spaces for bicycle.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 228 667 260"><u>Comment (28) from 楊勝</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 292 1198 323">6.28.1 楊勝 was satisfied with the proposed measures on greening.</p> <p data-bbox="331 371 1758 403">6.28.2 楊勝 stated that there could be more opportunities for organic farming in the green area in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 499 846 531"><u>Comment (29) from Mr Yip Kam Hung</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 547 1742 651">6.29.1 Mr Yip Kam Hung mentioned that plans for the development in Tung Chung should be prioritised. He also emphasised the importance of the enhancement of local transportation especially in Tung Chung West. The construction of the proposed Tung Chung West station should be done earlier.</p> <p data-bbox="331 675 1742 738">6.29.2 Mr Yip Kam Hung suggested having a technical university focusing on tourism instead of a traditional university in Tung Chung East.</p> <p data-bbox="331 762 1765 826">6.29.3 Mr Yip Kam Hung opined that a comprehensive system in managing bicycles should be formulated, like the one in West Kowloon Cultural District.</p> <p data-bbox="331 850 1753 882">6.29.4 Mr Yip Kam Hung suggested that the plans for the enhancement of transportation should cover Tai Ho.</p> <p data-bbox="331 962 701 994"><u>Comment (30) from 詹子明</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1026 1198 1058">6.30.1 詹子明 agreed on the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1106 1758 1137">6.30.2 詹子明 pointed out the need to enhance the public transportation and bus services in Tung Chung West.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1185 1305 1217">6.30.3 詹子明 expressed her wish to have more parking spaces for bicycle.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1313 757 1345"><u>Comment (31) from Anonymous</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.31.1 He pointed out the need to enhance the bus services in Shek Mun Kap.</p> <p><u>Comment (32) from 謝擎天</u></p> <p>6.32.1 謝擎天 expressed his wish to build an emergency access road in Shan Tou village for better access of villagers and vehicles.</p> <p>6.32.2 謝擎天 pointed out the potential impacts of human activities, particularly shellfish harvesting , to the environment in Tung Chung Bay.</p> <p><u>Comment (33) from 周晉賢</u></p> <p>6.33.1 周晉賢 asked the possibility of extending the railway to other parts of Lantau.</p> <p>6.33.2 周晉賢 enquired whether the proposed six bus terminals would impose significant burden to the road traffic in Tung Chung.</p> <p><u>Comment (34) from 林家柱</u></p> <p>6.34.1 林家柱 disagreed on the plans of reclamation in Tung Chung. He rejected the idea of designating the area near Sam Heung as Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI).</p> <p>6.34.2 林家柱 expressed the urgent need to consider and develop the area near Sam Heung and Tai Ho river.</p> <p><u>Comment (35) from Ms Mary Ng</u></p> <p>6.35.1 Ms Mary Ng mentioned that medical waste from hospital should be handled properly.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.35.2 Ms Mary Ng was concerned with the potential water pollution brought by the development.</p> <p>6.35.3 Ms Mary Ng mentioned the need to have proper precautionary measures for gales when storm comes.</p> <p><u>Comment (36) from 湛德如</u></p> <p>6.36.1 湛德如 mentioned the need to have more shops and public markets for the locals in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.36.2 湛德如 suggested issuing temporary hawker licenses to resolve the difficulties of the locals in buying daily necessity and foods in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.36.3 湛德如 urged the Study Team to plan more restaurants in Tung Chung. Old town streets with restaurants with local characteristics and handcrafts could be considered when developing Ma Wan Chung.</p> <p><u>Comment (37) from 胡素娟</u></p> <p>6.37.1 胡素娟 hoped that the noise mitigation measures for Prajna Dhyana Temple could be strengthened.</p> <p>6.37.2 胡素娟 stated that the existing services of minibus should be enhanced.</p> <p><u>Comment (38) from 李德育</u></p> <p>6.38.1 李德育 expressed his serious concern on whether the enhancement of service of Tung Chung Line could be capable to meet the future increase of population in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.38.2 李德育 was worried about the air and noise pollution in Tung Chung East brought by Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macau Bridge.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 284 696 316"><u>Comment (39) from 莫永丘</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 347 1738 432">6.39.1 莫永丘 stated that facilities including theatre and museum should be included in the planning of Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 507 786 539"><u>Comment (40) from Ms Hester Wu</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 563 1765 632">6.40.1 Ms Hester Wu questioned whether Tung Chung East station would be eventually brought in place because of the conclusion of another government plan entitled “Railway Development Strategy 2014”.</p> <p data-bbox="331 651 1738 719">6.40.2 Ms Hester Wu questioned that the service of Tung Chung Line could not be able to cater for the future increase of population even if it reaches its full capacity.</p> <p data-bbox="331 738 1671 807">6.40.3 Ms Hester Wu stated that the incapability of Tung Chung Line would impose pressure on the bus services.</p> <p data-bbox="331 882 696 914"><u>Comment (41) from Mr Lau</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 938 1200 970">6.41.1 Mr Lau stated that the environment should not be destroyed.</p> <p data-bbox="331 989 1581 1021">6.41.2 Mr Lau hoped to avoid reclamation and acquire lands from small parts of the countryside.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1096 696 1128"><u>Comment (42) from 戴立松</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1160 1200 1197">6.42.1 戴立松 agreed on the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1240 1462 1276">6.42.2 戴立松 hoped to develop the countryside of Tung Chung West for local tourism.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1319 1335 1356">6.42.3 戴立松 expressed the need to assign more bus stops in Shek Mun Kap.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 228 696 260"><u>Comment (43) from 余愛迪</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 296 1715 328">6.43.1 余愛迪 hoped to strengthened the connection between Shek Mun Kap and the centre of Tung Chung</p> <p data-bbox="331 373 1189 405">6.43.2 余愛迪 hoped to have more public markets in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 496 696 528"><u>Comment (44) from 鄧梓芬</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 564 1771 596">6.44.1 鄧梓芬 suggested having child care centre and elderly centre so that women could be available for work.</p> <p data-bbox="331 687 770 719"><u>Comment (45) from Mr Y. T. Lee</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 740 1742 804">6.45.1 Mr Y. T. Lee suggested having a mixed development with residential and commercial land-uses above the Tung Chung station</p> <p data-bbox="331 831 1756 895">6.45.2 Mr Y. T. Lee pointed out that lands in Tung Chung West could be developed so that reclamation would not be needed for Tung Chung East.</p> <p data-bbox="331 922 1765 954">6.45.3 Mr Y. T. Lee mentioned the imbalance development of private and public housing in Tung Chung West.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1027 696 1059"><u>Comment (46) from 周浩鼎</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1096 1615 1176">6.46.1 周浩鼎 was in favour of a planning which could result in a vibrant society with employment opportunities.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1208 1742 1294">6.46.2 周浩鼎 commented that the Government should strengthen the road connections between Tung Chung and other parts of Hong Kong so that the Tsing Ma Bridge would not be the only connecting road.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1326 1697 1358">6.46.3 周浩鼎 suggested making use of this development opportunities to strengthen the road networks in</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="450 228 629 256">South Lantau.</p> <p data-bbox="331 292 1294 320">6.46.4 周浩鼎 supported having a large piece of grassland in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 411 696 440"><u>Comment (47) from 李偉基</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 480 1570 509">6.47.1 李偉基 was in support of the proposed Tung Chung East and Tung Chung West stations.</p> <p data-bbox="331 560 1671 643">6.47.2 李偉基 opined that the possibility of railway extension should be one of consideration during the planning.</p> <p data-bbox="331 675 1760 758">6.47.3 李偉基 stated that the Tung Chung station has been over-crowded. Better planning would help alleviate the situation.</p> <p data-bbox="331 790 1738 873">6.47.4 李偉基 suggested extending the Tung Chung Line to the business area in the North part of the Airport and the boundary crossing facilities of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge.</p> <p data-bbox="331 949 714 978"><u>Comment (48) from 莫善揚</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1018 1749 1134">6.48.1 莫善揚 hoped to have a better positioning for the proposed residential development near Prajna Dhyana Temple, from which the noise of the morning practices might be disturbing to the locals.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1169 1742 1252">6.48.2 莫善揚 was in support of the enhancement of bus services from Shek Mun Kap to Tung Chung East station.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1284 1603 1313">6.48.3 莫善揚 hoped to have more employment opportunities in Tung Chung West.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 279 757 311"><u>Comment (49) from Anonymous</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 331 1684 363">6.49.1 He objected designating the private lands in Nam Che and Nim Yuen village as conservation area.</p> <p data-bbox="331 438 869 470"><u>Comment (50) from Cheung Yim Kwong</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 491 1653 523">6.50.1 Cheung Yim Kwong suggested having a gateball court and more leisure spaces in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 598 801 630"><u>Comment (51) from Mr Gabriel Lau</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 651 1751 721">6.51.1 Mr Gabriel Lau proposed integrating parts of the cycling tracks with road networks. Tung Chung could be the first place in Hong Kong in realising this idea.</p> <p data-bbox="331 742 1563 774">6.51.2 Mr Gabriel Lau expressed the need to unify different sections of cycling tracks into one.</p> <p data-bbox="331 849 698 880"><u>Comment (52) from 莫國華</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 917 1706 997">6.52.1 莫國華 was concerned with the potential discontinuation and relocation of the farming practice and apiary due to the site acquisition for the proposed extension.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1029 1729 1061">6.52.2 莫國華 requested the Government to settle the housing needs of the villages in Wong Nei Uk village.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1157 698 1189"><u>Comment (53) from 羅美材</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1220 1249 1252">6.53.1 羅美材 mentioned the disturbance from Prajna Dhyana Temple.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1343 667 1375"><u>Comment (54) from 關基</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.54.1 關基 expressed that the general public in Tung Chung do not consider the proposed population in the Tung Chung extension acceptable.</p> <p>6.54.2 關基 was concerned with the local employment opportunities in proposed planning of Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.54.3 關基 questioned that the service of Tung Chung Line and road traffic could not be able to cater for the future increase of population.</p> <p>6.54.4 關基 urged the Study Team to consider the cumulative impacts brought by the infrastructures projects around Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.54.5 關基 urged the Study Team to better utilise the "brownfield" sites in Tung Chung so that reclamation could be avoided.</p> <p><u>Comment (55) from 馮淑芬</u></p> <p>6.55.1 馮淑芬 wished to have elderly centre and strengthen the bus services in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.55.2 馮淑芬 hoped to have adequate measures on noise mitigation for Prajna Dhyana Temple and maintaining air flow and sunlight.</p> <p><u>Comment (56) from Mr David Tam</u></p> <p>6.56.1 Mr David Tam asked whether reclamation would be done on the sea near Yat Tung Estate.</p> <p>6.56.2 Mr David Tam suggested extending the Tung Chung Line to Yat Tung station.</p> <p><u>Comment (57) from 雍愛秀</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.57.1 雍愛秀 expressed her concerns on the development of small business in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.57.2 雍愛秀 suggested designating areas for street arts and cultural activities.</p> <p>6.57.3 雍愛秀 stated that the community facilities in Tung Chung West are not enough to meet the public demand.</p> <p>6.57.4 雍愛秀 wished to have cycling tracks linking Yat Tung Estate with the proposed Town Park.</p> <p>6.57.5 雍愛秀 wished to have proper relocation arrangement of NGOs in Tung Chung East when developing the proposed Town Park .</p> <p><u>Comment (58) from 馮小燕</u></p> <p>6.58.1 馮小燕 questioned whether Tung Chung could be qualified as a vibrant and livable city because of the future increase of population and tourists.</p> <p>6.58.2 馮小燕 urged the Study Team to study the demographic profile of the increased population before implementing the plans.</p> <p>6.58.3 馮小燕 mentioned that the reasons of the 120ha reclamation in Tung Chung East should be explained in detail.</p> <p>6.58.4 馮小燕 hoped to have elderly centre, municipal services building and children playground, and these facilities should be integrated with the residential development nearby.</p> <p>6.58.5 馮小燕 suggested that shopping mall and municipal services building should be integrated.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.58.6 馮小燕 suggested that two more public forums could be organised.</p> <p><u>Comment (59) from 何兆基</u></p> <p>6.59.1 何兆基 mentioned that striving a balance of the needs of different stakeholders is important.</p> <p><u>Comment (60) from 余詠閑</u></p> <p>6.60.1 余詠閑 suggested having more elderly centres, cycling tracks and parking spaces, public markets and carparks in the Study.</p> <p><u>Comment (61) from 邱瑞芳</u></p> <p>6.61.1 余詠閑 suggested having a carpark in Tung Chung West.</p> <p><u>Comment (62) from 葉培基</u></p> <p>6.62.1 葉培基 emphasised the importance of a quality living environment in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.62.2 葉培基 was in support of having ferry services from Tung Chung to other parts of Hong Kong.</p> <p>6.62.3 葉培基 suggested relocating the planned sports grounds in Tung Chung East to the city centre.</p> <p><u>Comment (63) from 吳小華</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.63.1 吳小華 was in support of the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p>6.63.2 吳小華 hoped to have organic farmland to promote environmental education.</p> <p>6.63.3 吳小華 was concerned with the transportation in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.63.4 吳小華 expressed her wishes in having more elderly centres and child care centres in Tung Chung.</p> <p><u>Comment (64) from 謝麗娥</u></p> <p>6.64.1 謝麗娥 was satisfied with the planning of road networks in Tung Chung East.</p> <p>6.64.2 謝麗娥 expressed her serious concerns on the planning of road networks for villages in Tung Chung West, Sam Heung and Tai Ho.</p> <p>6.64.3 謝麗娥 stated the need to have an emergency car access for her village.</p> <p>6.64.4 謝麗娥 hoped the Study Team to reconcile the conflicts on the use of roads between indigenous inhabitants and cyclists through planning.</p>	
	<p>Reponses from Mr David KC Lo, Chief Engineer/Islands, Hong Kong Island and Islands Development Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department, HKSAR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr Lo outlined the working plans after Stage 3 of Public Engagement. • Mr Lo explained that the capacity of Tung Chung Line is capable to handle the future increase of population. 	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 228 1496 260">Reponses from Dr Daman Lee, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited</p> <ul data-bbox="331 284 1603 316" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="331 284 1603 316">• Dr Lee clarified that the Study Team has thoroughly consider the capacity of Tung Chung Line. <p data-bbox="331 387 1715 459"><u>Expert Opinion of Prof Rebecca Chiu Lai-har, JP, Department of Urban Planning and Design, University of Hong Kong</u></p> <ul data-bbox="331 483 1749 826" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="331 483 1693 555">• Prof Chiu agreed on the efforts of the Government in integrating public comments received in the past public engagement exercises into the planning of Tung Chung. <li data-bbox="331 571 1615 643">• Prof Chiu was concerned with the balanced development of the community. The type of housing development is also of essence. <li data-bbox="331 667 1749 738">• Prof Chiu suggested adopting the concept of harmonious community. She supplemented that leisure spaces and community spaces are vital to the development of relationships with neighbours. <li data-bbox="331 754 1749 826">• Prof Chiu mentioned that the concept of planning is consisted of planning and development. Market forces play a vital role in planning, and it also influences the provisions of different kinds of community services. <p data-bbox="331 898 1597 938"><u>Expert Opinion of Dr Ng Cho-nam, SBS, JP, Department of Geography, University of Hong Kong</u></p> <ul data-bbox="331 962 1760 1361" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="331 962 1597 994">• Dr Ng was satisfied with the efforts of the Government in resolving issues raised by the public. <li data-bbox="331 1010 1760 1082">• Dr Ng mentioned that the current planning of Tung Chung is facing a conflict of interface between different land-uses. <li data-bbox="331 1098 1749 1169">• Dr Ng suggested moving the residential development near Prajna Dhyana Temple to the north part of Tung Chung West to achieve a better planning. <li data-bbox="331 1185 1749 1305">• Dr Ng mentioned that another conflict of interface lies in the issue of integration between development areas, the surrounding countryside and the rural villages. He supplemented that efforts of other government departments are important in resolving the problems. <li data-bbox="331 1321 1581 1361">• Dr Ng reaffirmed the role of EIA in controlling the impacts of the project on the environment. 	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 280 1727 312"><u>Expert Opinion of Prof So Wai-man, Raymond, Dean, School of Business, Hang Seng Management College</u></p> <ul data-bbox="331 336 1771 517" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="331 336 1563 368">• Prof So was happy to see a focused discussion taken place in Stage 3 of Public Engagement. <li data-bbox="331 392 1771 424">• Prof So mentioned that the development of Tung Chung East could contribute to vibrant economic activities. <li data-bbox="331 448 1742 517">• Prof So emphasised the importance of economic activities in contributing to a better living environment in Tung Chung. 	
7	<p data-bbox="331 608 517 639">End of Event</p> <p data-bbox="331 663 1720 727">7.1 The facilitator thanked the audience for their comments on the Study, and mentioned that the Stage 3 Public Engagement would conclude on October 31 2014.</p> <p data-bbox="331 751 1742 815">7.2 The facilitator reminded the participants about the schedule of Roving Exhibitions and Physical Model Display.</p>	