

Appendix G

Gist of Public Forum

**Tung Chung New Town Extension Study
Stage 3 Public Engagement
Gist of Public Forum**

Date: 11 October 2014

Time: 14:00 – 17:30

Venue: YMCA of Hong Kong Christian College, 2 Chung Yat Road, Tung Chung

Representatives from the HKSAR Government and consultant:

Dr Daman Lee, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited

Ms Theresa Yeung, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited

Mr Franki Chiu, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited

Ms K C Woo, Assistant Director of Planning (New Territories District Planning Division), Planning Department, HKSAR

Mr David KC Lo, Chief Engineer/Islands, Hong Kong Island and Islands Development Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department

Expert panel:

Prof Rebecca Chiu Lai-har, JP, Department of Urban Planning and Design, University of Hong Kong

Dr Ng Cho-nam, SBS, JP, Department of Geography, University of Hong Kong

Prof So Wai-man, Raymond, Dean, School of Business, Hang Seng Management College

Facilitator:

Mr Adrian Cheung

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
1	Introduction 1.1 The facilitator encouraged the audience to submit questions for Q & A session. 1.2 The facilitator welcomed guests and audience to the forum. 1.3 The facilitator introduced attending guests to audience.	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
2	<p data-bbox="331 236 1749 304">Welcoming remarks by Ms K C Woo, Assistant Director of Planning (New Territories District Planning Division), Planning Department, HKSAR</p> <p data-bbox="331 344 1637 379">2.1 Ms Woo thanked the public for their comments on the previous two stages of Public Engagement.</p> <p data-bbox="331 448 1379 483">2.2 Ms Woo briefly introduced the aims of the forum and the details of the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 523 1727 592">2.3 Ms Woo encouraged the audience to express their views and the Study Team would utilise the comments received to revise the plans.</p>	
3	<p data-bbox="331 635 1563 670">Presentation by Ms Theresa Yeung, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited</p> <p data-bbox="331 710 1742 778">3.1 Ms Yeung thanked the audience for attending the forum and their comments on the previous two stages of Public Engagement.</p> <p data-bbox="331 818 1715 887">3.2 Ms Yeung introduced the public opinion collected in previous stages of Public Engagement, which have been considered in the planning for Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 956 1771 1024">3.3 Ms Yeung introduced the framework for planning and emphasised the importance to integrate different parts of Tung Chung into one.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1064 1720 1133">3.4 Ms Yeung introduced in detail the development, economic opportunities, connectivity and provisions on public transportation in the proposed plans of Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1201 1704 1270">3.5 Ms Yeung also described the urban design and land use planning in the Study. She emphasised how the concept of conservation, environmental protection and balanced development are applied in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1310 1733 1378">3.6 Ms Yeung explained the key considerations and the framework of urban design, such as types of housing, environmental conditions and the needs of rural villagers.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>3.7 Ms Yeung outlined the draft Recommended Outline Development Plan for Tung Chung East and the locations of the proposed government institutions and community facilities. The needs for schools and sport grounds are well-acknowledged.</p> <p>3.8 Ms Yeung presented the planning of the local commercial development and potential job opportunities. She emphasised the potentials of Tung Chung with the surrounding development.</p>	
4	<p>Presentation by Dr Daman Lee, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited</p> <p>4.1 Dr Lee introduced the findings of the Primarily Technical Assessment.</p> <p>4.2 Dr Lee acknowledged the public comments on the technical aspects of the Study.</p> <p>4.3 Dr Lee pointed out that, after considering series of factors, the air quality after the implementation of the plans would be acceptable because of the measures of the Government in reducing key emissions from both Hong Kong and Pearl River Delta. He briefed the potential emission sources and the key air pollutants. He also outlined the assessment methods of air quality and the locations of the affected air sensitive receivers.</p> <p>4.4 Dr Lee explained the water quality assessment and stated that the change of water quality in Tai Ho Wan during reclamations in Tung Chung is minimal.</p> <p>4.5 Dr Lee explained the results of the preliminary ecological survey and detailed the ecological and environmental consideration in the Study. Particular attention was given to Tung Chung River to protect the ecology and water recourses.</p> <p>4.6 Dr Lee explained the treatment strategy for storm water in Tung Chung. It is part of the wider drainage system dealing with storm water and attenuation. He also explained the mechanisms of treatment ponds.</p> <p>4.7 Dr Lee stated that, with the assistance from Noise Exposure Forecast (NEF) 25 contour, the results of the Preliminary Traffic Assessment for aircraft noise have been acceptable.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>4.8 Dr Lee explained the traffic impact assessment, and stated that the impacts of the development on the traffic flow in, for instance, Tat Tung Road, North Lantau Highway, Yi Tung Road and Yu Tung Road, are minimal.</p> <p>4.9 Dr Lee pointed out that the services of Tung Chung Line, with certain improvement works, could deal with the expected peak hour flow with the increase of population in the future.</p> <p>4.10 Dr Lee acknowledged the comments received on relocating the residential development in Shek Mun Kap to the complex near Tung Chung East station, designating cultural facilities in Tung Chung West, and having limited development on the estuary of Tung Chung River.</p> <p>4.11 Dr Lee stated that the Study Team is exploring the opportunities to develop the inter-tidal area between Tung Chung and Ma Wan Chung.</p> <p>4.12 Dr Lee mentioned the comments received for the development of Tung Chung East, namely the traffic problems on North Lantau Highway, the construction of junctions to Tai Ho, the potential noise problem, the provisions of cycling tracks and the location of the marina.</p>	
5	<p>Comments from Ms CHAU Chuen-heung, Vice-chairman of the Islands District Council</p> <p>5.1 Ms Chau stated that it has been the demand of the public to continue the development and extension. The Study is a critical moment for a better planning of Tung Chung. She emphasised the importance to make Tung Chung a vibrant and livable city.</p> <p>5.2 Ms Chau suggested improving road network of Tung Chung so that both the internal connections and extension linkages with other parts of Hong Kong could be improved.</p> <p>5.3 Ms Chau hoped to have more municipal services buildings and government offices in Tung Chung West.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>5.4 Ms Chau pointed out the need to have tertiary institutions in Tung Chung.</p> <p>5.5 Ms Chau urged the Study Team to utilise and prioritise the existing land resources to carry out some parts of the plans in the Study to maintain continuous development.</p>	
6	<p>Q & A session</p> <p><u>Comment (1) from 譚雪兒</u></p> <p>6.1.1 譚雪兒 opined that the scale of proposed elderly centre was inadequate.</p> <p>6.1.2 譚雪兒 stated that women would be benefited from the provision of child care centres and therefore they</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="427 196 853 228">could be available again to work.</p> <p data-bbox="331 268 680 300"><u>Comment (2) from 林杏如</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 360 1727 480">6.2.1 林杏如 commented that the noise as resulted from the increase of population from the public housing development nearby might affect devotees in Prajna Dhyana Temple. She asked if there are any noise mitigation measures.</p> <p data-bbox="331 560 1581 592">6.2.2 林杏如 was concerned with the impacts of construction waste disposal towards the locals.</p> <p data-bbox="331 647 824 679"><u>Comment (3) from Mr Fredrick Wong</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 719 1447 751">6.3.1 Mr Fredrick Wong was satisfied with the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 823 1749 927">6.3.2 Mr Fredrick Wong enquired about the environmental protection measures in tackling the construction impacts of the development on Tung Chung West. Measures should also be formulated to minimise the pollution and impacts from construction.</p> <p data-bbox="331 975 680 1007"><u>Comment (4) from 何嘉寶</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1062 1570 1094">6.4.1 何嘉寶 was happy with the withdrawal of the plans of reclamation in Tung Chung West.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1166 1715 1246">6.4.2 何嘉寶 questioned whether the Study Team has considered the service capacity of Tung Chung Line during peak hours, when would be much more crowded than it is after the extension of Tung Chung.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.4.3 何嘉寶 asked whether the future air quality would be acceptable with pollutants from 24-hour traffics of Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macau Bridge, since the emission standards of both Macau and China have been different from Hong Kong.</p>	
	<p>6.4.4 何嘉寶 urged the Study Team to explain the designation of buffer zone between the residential development and conservation area.</p>	
	<p>6.4.5 何嘉寶 suggested formulating measures of conservation for the low-tide area.</p>	
	<p><u>Comment (5) from 陳美娟</u></p>	
	<p>6.5.1 陳美娟 was satisfied with the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p>	
	<p>6.5.2 陳美娟 hoped to have more leisure spaces, similar to Kowloon Park, in Tung Chung West.</p>	
	<p>6.5.3 陳美娟 expressed the need to widen the roads and improve the road networks in Shek Mun Kap to cater for the future increase of traffic and tourists.</p>	
	<p>6.5.4 陳美娟 suggested improving the connections between Tung Chung and Shek Mun Kap.</p>	
	<p><u>Comment (6) from Ms Crystal Ho</u></p>	
	<p>6.6.1 Ms Crystal Ho suggested having an exit of Tung Chung station near the hospital in Tung Chung.</p>	
	<p>6.6.2 Ms Crystal Ho suggested having more EMI schools under direct subsidy scheme in Tung Chung.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 231 674 263"><u>Comment (7) from Ms Ho</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 306 1447 338">6.7.1 Ms Ho suggested having more elderly centres due to the increase of population.</p> <p data-bbox="331 408 1413 440">6.7.2 Ms Ho supported designating more green conservation areas in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 483 647 515"><u>Comment (8) from 林來</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 571 1476 603">6.8.1 林來 expressed the urgent need to have public markets for people in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 687 806 719"><u>Comment (9) from Mr Tommy Fung</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 762 1738 831">6.9.1 Mr Tommy Fung hoped to improve the road traffic of North Lantau, to cater particularly for the future increase of tourists and the potential conflicts with the indigenous inhabitants.</p> <p data-bbox="331 901 1727 970">6.9.2 Mr Tommy Fung suggested having diversified choices of public transportation. Besides railway, bus services linking the inner parts of Tung Chung and other areas of Hong Kong should be strengthened.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1013 1590 1045">6.9.3 Mr Tommy Fung suggested having more point-to-point transportation services for tourists.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1088 1742 1157">6.9.4 Mr Tommy Fung suggested removing the toll plaza of the North Lantau Highway to lower the costs of travel to Tung Chung in the long term.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1200 875 1232"><u>Comment (10) from Ms Wong Wing Tam</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1275 1476 1307">6.10.1 Ms Wong Wing Tam was satisfied with the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1350 1749 1382">6.10.2 Ms Wong Wing Tam opined that the noise from the morning practices in Prajna Dhyana Temple might</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>disturb residents in the nearby area. She hoped that the noise mitigation measures concerned could be strengthened.</p> <p>6.10.3 Ms Wong Wing Tam suggested extending the railway services to Shek Mun Kap for easy access of tourists and the locals.</p> <p>6.10.4 Ms Wong Wing Tam was worried that 150 bedspaces for the proposed elderly centre are not enough to meet the growing aged population.</p> <p>6.10.5 Ms Wong Wing Tam suggested having more car parks in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.10.6 Ms Wong Wing Tam mentioned that the bus services in Tung Chung should be enhanced to cater for the future increase of population.</p> <p><u>Comment (11) from Ms Chan Kowk Wing</u></p> <p>6.11.1 Ms Chan Kowk Wing was concerned with the potential noise and air pollution aroused from the Hong Kong–Zhuhai–Macau Bridge and the surrounding development in Tung Chung and the related mitigation measures.</p> <p>6.11.2 Ms Chan Kowk Wing questioned whether the future increase of population would place a large burden to the existing services of Tung Chung Line.</p> <p><u>Comment (12) from 黃少羣</u></p> <p>6.12.1 黃少羣 supported the development in Tung Chung, and would like to see the implementation of the plans as soon as possible.</p> <p><u>Comment (13) from Anonymous</u></p> <p>6.13.1 He was satisfied with the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 233 1727 300">6.13.2 He wished the Study Team to improve the convenience of Shek Mun Kap and strengthen the existing bus services.</p> <p data-bbox="331 344 1196 376">6.13.3 He suggested having more street lighting in Shek Mun Kap.</p> <p data-bbox="331 421 696 453"><u>Comment (14) from 余顯碧</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 507 1742 628">6.14.1 余顯碧 questioned whether Tung Chung could be qualified as a vibrant and livable city because of the serious air quality nowadays and the impacts brought by with the future Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macau Bridge and the expansion of Hong Kong International Airport.</p> <p data-bbox="331 683 1697 762">6.14.2 余顯碧 expressed her concerns on the impacts of traffic on air quality due to the different emission standards of vehicles from both China and Macau.</p> <p data-bbox="331 817 1731 896">6.14.3 余顯碧 concerned the impacts of noise and air pollutant emissions due to the future increase of traffic and population.</p> <p data-bbox="331 951 1720 1031">6.14.4 余顯碧 mentioned that the future development would damage the surrounding natural valley and the environment of Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1085 696 1117"><u>Comment (15) from 謝貴英</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1171 1720 1203">6.15.1 謝貴英 was dissatisfied with the responses from different government departments on their requests.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1273 1771 1353">6.15.2 謝貴英 expressed her serious concerns on the planning of road networks hoping to meet the future needs of both indigenous inhabitants and new population.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.15.3 謝貴英 opined that widening road networks near Shan Tou Village could alleviate the conflicts between indigenous inhabitants and tourists and lower the rate of accident.</p> <p><u>Comment (16) from Ms Siu</u></p> <p>6.16.1 Ms Siu suggested having enough buffering between Prajna Dhyana Temple and the nearby residential development. Noise mitigation and better positioning of the nearby residential development should be done properly.</p> <p>6.16.2 Ms Siu wished to have more parking spaces in Shek Mun Kap.</p> <p><u>Comment (17) from 林麗山</u></p> <p>6.17.1 林麗山 agreed on the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p>6.17.2 林麗山 hoped to have more leisure spaces in Tung Chung West. Opportunities for organic farming could be considered.</p> <p><u>Comment (18) from 曾育載</u></p> <p>6.18.1 曾育載 suggested having adequate buffering between Prajna Dhyana Temple and the nearby residential development.</p> <p>6.18.2 曾育載 hoped to have adequate measures on noise mitigation and maintaining air flow and sunlight.</p> <p><u>Comment (19) from Mr David Ho</u></p> <p>6.19.1 Mr David Ho supported the Government's efforts in considering public comments in the Study.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.19.2 Mr David Ho was satisfied with the components in the proposed planning, particularly the designation of conservation area, low-density development and the marina.</p> <p><u>Comment (20) from Ms Rita Lau</u></p> <p>6.20.1 Ms Rita Lau suggested that having a university in Tung Chung West, probably in the green belt near Shan Tou village, would attract young people to stay in Tung Chung to work. The proposed university could focus on subjects including ecotourism, hotel management and business administration.</p> <p>6.20.2 Ms Rita Lau hoped to improve the existing traffic conditions and transportation services in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.20.3 Ms Rita Lau wished to have proper relocation arrangement for NGOs in Tung Chung East to continue their provision on social welfare services.</p> <p><u>Comment (21) from 黃人傑</u></p> <p>6.21.1 黃人傑 emphasised the importance of the local employment opportunities, which could help alleviate the demands on traffic.</p> <p>Reponses from the representative from the HKSAR Government</p> <p>6.1 Ms Woo thanked for the support from the audience on the Study.</p> <p>6.2 Ms Woo acknowledged the concerns from the public on the environment, provision of community facilities and public transportation.</p> <p>6.3 Ms Woo stated that Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) would be done to assess the potential impacts of the Study on the environment.</p> <p>6.4 Ms Woo stated that a more variety of choices on public transportation and road enhancement works would be done.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.5 Ms Woo stated that the future increase of population in Tung Chung would provide solid foundations for the provision of more community facilities.</p> <p><u>Comment (22) from 何加成</u></p> <p>6.22.1 何加成 questioned the compensation arrangement for indigenous inhabitants as they are deprived of the chance to build small houses again near Wong Nei Uk village.</p> <p>6.22.2 何加成 hoped that the height and density of the nearby R2 development could be lowered.</p> <p>6.22.3 何加成 was worried about the traffic congestion aroused from the reach of full capacity of Tat Tung Road.</p> <p><u>Comment (23) from 周劍清</u></p> <p>6.23.1 周劍清 enquired about when the proposed Study will be carried out.</p> <p><u>Comment (24) from Mr Wong Kit Ying</u></p> <p>6.24.1 Mr Wong Kit Ying supported that reclamation in Tung Chung is a visible and desirable solution to increase land supply.</p> <p><u>Comment (25) from 黃正南</u></p> <p>6.25.1 黃正南 expressed his disagreement on designating the village area of Shan Tou village and Ngau Au village as conservation area.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 196 696 228"><u>Comment (26) from 李少芬</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 284 1765 403">6.26.1 李少芬 opined that the proposed site for R2 development would not be large enough, and the associated construction for land acquisition would cause significant impacts on the environment, villagers in Wong Nei Uk village and the hillside graves.</p> <p data-bbox="331 451 696 483"><u>Comment (27) from 林敏朱</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 539 1301 571">6.27.1 林敏朱 was satisfied with the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 643 1473 675">6.27.2 林敏朱 hoped to have more elderly centres and child care centres in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 762 1742 794">6.27.3 林敏朱 hoped to strengthen the existing services of minibuses and have more parking spaces for bicycles.</p> <p data-bbox="331 850 663 882"><u>Comment (28) from 楊勝</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 946 1193 978">6.28.1 楊勝 was satisfied with the proposed measures on greening.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1042 1753 1074">6.28.2 楊勝 stated that there could be more opportunities for organic farming in the green area in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1129 842 1161"><u>Comment (29) from Mr Yip Kam Hung</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1209 1738 1313">6.29.1 Mr Yip Kam Hung mentioned that plans for the development in Tung Chung should be prioritised. He also emphasised the importance of the enhancement of local transportation especially in Tung Chung West. The construction of the proposed Tung Chung West station should be done earlier.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1353 1738 1385">6.29.2 Mr Yip Kam Hung suggested having a technical university focusing on tourism instead of a traditional</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>university in Tung Chung East.</p> <p>6.29.3 Mr Yip Kam Hung opined that a comprehensive system in managing bicycles should be formulated, like the one in West Kowloon Cultural District.</p> <p>6.29.4 Mr Yip Kam Hung suggested that the plans for the enhancement of transportation should cover Tai Ho.</p> <p><u>Comment (30) from 詹子明</u></p> <p>6.30.1 詹子明 agreed on the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p>6.30.2 詹子明 pointed out the need to enhance the public transportation and bus services in Tung Chung West.</p> <p>6.30.3 詹子明 expressed her wish to have more parking spaces for bicycle.</p> <p><u>Comment (31) from Anonymous</u></p> <p>6.31.1 He pointed out the need to enhance the bus services in Shek Mun Kap.</p> <p><u>Comment (32) from 謝擎天</u></p> <p>6.32.1 謝擎天 expressed his wish to build an emergency access road in Shan Tou village for better access of villagers and vehicles.</p> <p>6.32.2 謝擎天 pointed out the potential impacts of human activities, particularly shellfish harvesting , to the environment in Tung Chung Bay.</p> <p><u>Comment (33) from 周晉賢</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.33.1 周晉賢 asked the possibility of extending the railway to other parts of Lantau.</p> <p>6.33.2 周晉賢 enquired whether the proposed six bus terminals would impose significant burden to the road traffic in Tung Chung.</p> <p><u>Comment (34) from 林家柱</u></p> <p>6.34.1 林家柱 disagreed on the plans of reclamation in Tung Chung. He rejected the idea of designating the area near Sam Heung as Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI).</p> <p>6.34.2 林家柱 expressed the urgent need to consider and develop the area near Sam Heung and Tai Ho river.</p> <p><u>Comment (35) from Ms Mary Ng</u></p> <p>6.35.1 Ms Mary Ng mentioned that medical waste from hospital should be handled properly.</p> <p>6.35.2 Ms Mary Ng was concerned with the potential water pollution brought by the development.</p> <p>6.35.3 Ms Mary Ng mentioned the need to have proper precautionary measures for gales when storm comes.</p> <p><u>Comment (36) from 湛德如</u></p> <p>6.36.1 湛德如 mentioned the need to have more shops and public markets for the locals in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.36.2 湛德如 suggested issuing temporary hawker licenses to resolve the difficulties of the locals in buying daily necessity and foods in Tung Chung.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 268 1774 355">6.36.3 湛德如 urged the Study Team to plan more restaurants in Tung Chung. Old town streets with restaurants with local characteristics and handcrafts could be considered when developing Ma Wan Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 395 696 432"><u>Comment (37) from 胡素娟</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 483 1700 520">6.37.1 胡素娟 hoped that the noise mitigation measures for Prajna Dhyana Temple could be strengthened.</p> <p data-bbox="331 584 1346 620">6.37.2 胡素娟 stated that the existing services of minibus should be enhanced.</p> <p data-bbox="331 671 696 708"><u>Comment (38) from 李德育</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 759 1774 847">6.38.1 李德育 expressed his serious concern on whether the enhancement of service of Tung Chung Line could be capable to meet the future increase of population in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 898 1693 986">6.38.2 李德育 was worried about the air and noise pollution in Tung Chung East brought by Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macau Bridge.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1026 696 1062"><u>Comment (39) from 莫永丘</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1114 1736 1201">6.39.1 莫永丘 stated that facilities including theatre and museum should be included in the planning of Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1241 786 1278"><u>Comment (40) from Ms Hester Wu</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1313 1742 1386">6.40.1 Ms Hester Wu questioned whether Tung Chung East station would be eventually brought in place because of the conclusion of another government plan entitled “Railway Development Strategy 2014”.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 231 1742 300">6.40.2 Ms Hester Wu questioned that the service of Tung Chung Line could not be able to cater for the future increase of population even if it reaches its full capacity.</p> <p data-bbox="331 343 1675 411">6.40.3 Ms Hester Wu stated that the incapability of Tung Chung Line would impose pressure on the bus services.</p> <p data-bbox="331 454 698 486"><u>Comment (41) from Mr Lau</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 529 1205 561">6.41.1 Mr Lau stated that the environment should not be destroyed.</p> <p data-bbox="331 604 1579 636">6.41.2 Mr Lau hoped to avoid reclamation and acquire lands from small parts of the countryside.</p> <p data-bbox="331 679 698 711"><u>Comment (42) from 戴立松</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 754 1205 786">6.42.1 戴立松 agreed on the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 829 1467 861">6.42.2 戴立松 hoped to develop the countryside of Tung Chung West for local tourism.</p> <p data-bbox="331 904 1339 936">6.42.3 戴立松 expressed the need to assign more bus stops in Shek Mun Kap.</p> <p data-bbox="331 979 698 1011"><u>Comment (43) from 余愛迪</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1054 1720 1086">6.43.1 余愛迪 hoped to strengthened the connection between Shek Mun Kap and the centre of Tung Chung</p> <p data-bbox="331 1129 1198 1161">6.43.2 余愛迪 hoped to have more public markets in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1204 698 1236"><u>Comment (44) from 鄧梓芬</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.44.1 鄧梓芬 suggested having child care centre and elderly centre so that women could be available for work.</p> <p><u>Comment (45) from Mr Y. T. Lee</u></p> <p>6.45.1 Mr Y. T. Lee suggested having a mixed development with residential and commercial land-uses above the Tung Chung station</p> <p>6.45.2 Mr Y. T. Lee pointed out that lands in Tung Chung West could be developed so that reclamation would not be needed for Tung Chung East.</p> <p>6.45.3 Mr Y. T. Lee mentioned the imbalance development of private and public housing in Tung Chung West.</p> <p><u>Comment (46) from 周浩鼎</u></p> <p>6.46.1 周浩鼎 was in favour of a planning which could result in a vibrant society with employment opportunities.</p> <p>6.46.2 周浩鼎 commented that the Government should strengthen the road connections between Tung Chung and other parts of Hong Kong so that the Tsing Ma Bridge would not be the only connecting road.</p> <p>6.46.3 周浩鼎 suggested making use of this development opportunities to strengthen the road networks in South Lantau.</p> <p>6.46.4 周浩鼎 supported having a large piece of grassland in Tung Chung.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 196 696 228"><u>Comment (47) from 李偉基</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 284 1563 316">6.47.1 李偉基 was in support of the proposed Tung Chung East and Tung Chung West stations.</p> <p data-bbox="331 384 1671 467">6.47.2 李偉基 opined that the possibility of railway extension should be one of consideration during the planning.</p> <p data-bbox="331 552 1760 635">6.47.3 李偉基 stated that the Tung Chung station has been over-crowded. Better planning would help alleviate the situation.</p> <p data-bbox="331 719 1738 802">6.47.4 李偉基 suggested extending the Tung Chung Line to the business area in the North part of the Airport and the boundary crossing facilities of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge.</p> <p data-bbox="331 842 712 874"><u>Comment (48) from 莫善揚</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 930 1760 1013">6.48.1 莫善揚 hoped to have a better positioning for the proposed residential development near Prajna Dhyana Temple, from which the noise of the morning practices might be disturbing to the locals.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1066 1715 1149">6.48.2 莫善揚 was in support of the enhancement of bus services from Shek Mun Kap to Tung Chung East station.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1233 1413 1265">6.48.3 莫善揚 hoped to have more employment opportunities in Tung Chung West.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1321 757 1353"><u>Comment (49) from Anonymous</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.49.1 He objected designating the private lands in Nam Che and Nim Yuen village as conservation area.</p> <p><u>Comment (50) from Cheung Yim Kwong</u></p> <p>6.50.1 Cheung Yim Kwong suggested having a gateball court and more leisure spaces in Tung Chung.</p> <p><u>Comment (51) from Mr Gabriel Lau</u></p> <p>6.51.1 Mr Gabriel Lau proposed integrating parts of the cycling tracks with road networks. Tung Chung could be the first place in Hong Kong in realising this idea.</p> <p>6.51.2 Mr Gabriel Lau expressed the need to unify different sections of cycling tracks into one.</p> <p><u>Comment (52) from 莫國華</u></p> <p>6.52.1 莫國華 was concerned with the potential discontinuation and relocation of the farming practice and apiary due to the site acquisition for the proposed extension.</p> <p>6.52.2 莫國華 requested the Government to settle the housing needs of the villages in Wong Nei Uk village.</p> <p><u>Comment (53) from 羅美材</u></p> <p>6.53.1 羅美材 mentioned the disturbance from Prajna Dhyana Temple.</p> <p><u>Comment (54) from 關基</u></p> <p>6.54.1 關基 expressed that the general public in Tung Chung do not consider the proposed population in the Tung Chung extension acceptable.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.54.2 關基 was concerned with the local employment opportunities in proposed planning of Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.54.3 關基 questioned that the service of Tung Chung Line and road traffic could not be able to cater for the future increase of population.</p> <p>6.54.4 關基 urged the Study Team to consider the cumulative impacts brought by the infrastructures projects around Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.54.5 關基 urged the Study Team to better utilise the "brownfield" sites in Tung Chung so that reclamation could be avoided.</p> <p><u>Comment (55) from 馮淑芬</u></p> <p>6.55.1 馮淑芬 wished to have elderly centre and strengthen the bus services in Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.55.2 馮淑芬 hoped to have adequate measures on noise mitigation for Prajna Dhyana Temple and maintaining air flow and sunlight.</p> <p><u>Comment (56) from Mr David Tam</u></p> <p>6.56.1 Mr David Tam asked whether reclamation would be done on the sea near Yat Tung Estate.</p> <p>6.56.2 Mr David Tam suggested extending the Tung Chung Line to Yat Tung station.</p> <p><u>Comment (57) from 雍愛秀</u></p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 240 1525 277">6.57.1 雍愛秀 expressed her concerns on the development of small business in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 341 1357 378">6.57.2 雍愛秀 suggested designating areas for street arts and cultural activities.</p> <p data-bbox="331 469 1693 553">6.57.3 雍愛秀 stated that the community facilities in Tung Chung West are not enough to meet the public demand.</p> <p data-bbox="331 633 1619 670">6.57.4 雍愛秀 wished to have cycling tracks linking Yat Tung Estate with the proposed Town Park.</p> <p data-bbox="331 761 1738 845">6.57.5 雍愛秀 wished to have proper relocation arrangement of NGOs in Tung Chung East when developing the proposed Town Park .</p> <p data-bbox="331 888 696 925"><u>Comment (58) from 馮小燕</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 978 1742 1062">6.58.1 馮小燕 questioned whether Tung Chung could be qualified as a vibrant and livable city because of the future increase of population and tourists.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1114 1686 1198">6.58.2 馮小燕 urged the Study Team to study the demographic profile of the increased population before implementing the plans.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1278 1765 1362">6.58.3 馮小燕 mentioned that the reasons of the 120ha reclamation in Tung Chung East should be explained in detail.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 268 1720 354">6.58.4 馮小燕 hoped to have elderly centre, municipal services building and children playground, and these facilities should be integrated with the residential development nearby.</p> <p data-bbox="331 434 1594 470">6.58.5 馮小燕 suggested that shopping mall and municipal services building should be integrated.</p> <p data-bbox="331 561 1288 598">6.58.6 馮小燕 suggested that two more public forums could be organised.</p> <p data-bbox="331 651 698 687"><u>Comment (59) from 何兆基</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 740 1608 777">6.59.1 何兆基 mentioned that striving a balance of the needs of different stakeholders is important.</p> <p data-bbox="331 829 698 866"><u>Comment (60) from 余詠閑</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 919 1729 1005">6.60.1 余詠閑 suggested having more elderly centres, cycling tracks and parking spaces, public markets and carparks in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1046 698 1083"><u>Comment (61) from 邱瑞芳</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1136 1164 1173">6.61.1 余詠閑 suggested having a carpark in Tung Chung West.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1225 698 1262"><u>Comment (62) from 葉培基</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1315 1496 1351">6.62.1 葉培基 emphasised the importance of a quality living environment in Tung Chung.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 204 1644 240">6.62.2 葉培基 was in support of having ferry services from Tung Chung to other parts of Hong Kong.</p> <p data-bbox="331 331 1637 368">6.62.3 葉培基 suggested relocating the planned sports grounds in Tung Chung East to the city centre.</p> <p data-bbox="331 432 696 469"><u>Comment (63) from 吳小華</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 533 1294 569">6.63.1 吳小華 was in support of the planning of Tung Chung in the Study.</p> <p data-bbox="331 633 1420 670">6.63.2 吳小華 hoped to have organic farmland to promote environmental education.</p> <p data-bbox="331 761 1227 798">6.63.3 吳小華 was concerned with the transportation in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 888 1688 925">6.63.4 吳小華 expressed her wishes in having more elderly centres and child care centres in Tung Chung.</p> <p data-bbox="331 979 696 1016"><u>Comment (64) from 謝麗娥</u></p> <p data-bbox="331 1069 1420 1106">6.64.1 謝麗娥 was satisfied with the planning of road networks in Tung Chung East.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1169 1711 1254">6.64.2 謝麗娥 expressed her serious concerns on the planning of road networks for villages in Tung Chung West, Sam Heung and Tai Ho.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1334 1339 1370">6.64.3 謝麗娥 stated the need to have an emergency car access for her village.</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p data-bbox="331 268 1657 354">6.64.4 謝麗娥 hoped the Study Team to reconcile the conflicts on the use of roads between indigenous inhabitants and cyclists through planning.</p>	
	<p data-bbox="331 1088 1729 1158">Reponses from Mr David KC Lo, Chief Engineer/Islands, Hong Kong Island and Islands Development Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department, HKSAR</p> <p data-bbox="331 1200 1281 1232">6.1 Mr Lo outlined the working plans after Stage 3 of Public Engagement.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1273 1774 1305">6.2 Mr Lo explained that the capacity of Tung Chung Line is capable to handle the future increase of population.</p> <p data-bbox="331 1347 1496 1378">Reponses from Dr Daman Lee, Director, Ove Arup and Partners Hong Kong Limited</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>6.1 Dr Lee clarified that the Study Team has thoroughly consider the capacity of Tung Chung Line.</p> <p><u>Expert Opinion of Prof Rebecca Chiu Lai-har, JP, Department of Urban Planning and Design, University of Hong Kong</u></p> <p>6.1 Prof Chiu agreed on the efforts of the Government in integrating public comments received in the past public engagement exercises into the planning of Tung Chung.</p> <p>6.2 Prof Chiu was concerned with the balanced development of the community. The type of housing development is also of essence.</p> <p>6.3 Prof Chiu suggested adopting the concept of harmonious community. She supplemented that leisure spaces and community spaces are vital to the development of relationships with neighbours.</p> <p>6.4 Prof Chiu mentioned that the concept of planning is consisted of planning and development. Market forces play a vital role in planning, and it also influences the provisions of different kinds of community services.</p> <p><u>Expert Opinion of Dr Ng Cho-nam, SBS, JP, Department of Geography, University of Hong Kong</u></p> <p>6.1 Dr Ng was satisfied with the efforts of the Government in resolving issues raised by the public.</p> <p>6.2 Dr Ng mentioned that the current planning of Tung Chung is facing a conflict of interface between different land-uses.</p> <p>6.3 Dr Ng suggested moving the residential development near Prajna Dhyana Temple to the north part of Tung Chung West to achieve a better planning.</p> <p>6.4 Dr Ng mentioned that another conflict of interface lies in the issue of integration between development</p>	

Ref. No.	Issues/Discussion	Remarks
	<p>areas, the surrounding countryside and the rural villages. He supplemented that efforts of other government departments are important in resolving the problems.</p> <p>6.5 Dr Ng reaffirmed the role of EIA in controlling the impacts of the project on the environment.</p> <p><u>Expert Opinion of Prof So Wai-man, Raymond, Dean, School of Business, Hang Seng Management College</u></p> <p>6.1 Prof So was happy to see a focused discussion taken place in Stage 3 of Public Engagement.</p> <p>6.2 Prof So mentioned that the development of Tung Chung East could contribute to vibrant economic activities.</p> <p>6.3 Prof So emphasised the importance of economic activities in contributing to a better living environment in Tung Chung.</p>	
7	<p>End of Event</p> <p>7.1 The facilitator thanked the audience for their comments on the Study, and mentioned that the Stage 3 Public Engagement would conclude on October 31 2014.</p> <p>7.2 The facilitator reminded the participants about the schedule of Roving Exhibitions and Physical Model Display.</p>	