

Appendix F

List of Standard Submissions and Signature Campaigns

Type	No.	Organisation (if any) / key message	Summary
Standard Submission	483 - 603	Individuals urging for further development of Tung Chung	<p>121 standard submissions urging for further development of Tung Chung were received. The points raised in the submissions are summarised as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urge for future development of Tung Chung; • Enhance local transport and infrastructure (e.g. introducing new modes of transport, increasing the frequency of current transport and strengthening bus services, constructing a tunnel linking Lantau North and South, etc.); build bridges to improve the external connectivity of Tung Chung; • Speed up the construction of Tung Chung West MTR Station; • Improve local cycling infrastructure (e.g. extending cycling paths, providing more bicycle parking, etc.); • Develop tourism in Ma Wan Chung focusing on conservation and small businesses (e.g. setting up night markets); • Provide more tertiary education facilities; • Improve drainage and sewage system for Sheung/Ha Ling Pei and Ma Wan Chung; • Include more community facilities (e.g. sports ground, theatre, public markets, waterfront promenade, etc.); and • Opine that two primary schools and a secondary school would be enough in the planning of Tung Chung; no more schools should be built in Tung Chung West.
	604 - 735	Individuals commenting on development in Tung Chung West	<p>132 standard submissions were received on the development of Tung Chung West. Salient points are summarised as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support future development of Tung Chung New Town.; • Support the deletion of Tung Chung West reclamation proposed in PE2; • Urge for revitalisation of Ma Wan Chung with adequate community facilities and infrastructure; extend the promenade from the town centre to Ma Wan Chung;

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop more community facilities (e.g. library, theatre, wet market, sewage system, etc.); suggest a better design of the proposed Town Park; • Reserve land for future rural development; • Suggest housing development in areas with lower ecological value in Tung Chung West; and • Request widening of the section of Tung Chung road. North of Shek Mun Kap.
736 - 739	Individuals commenting on overall future development of Tung Chung		<p>4 standard submissions were received concerning the overall development of Tung Chung. The points raised include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urge for construction of Tung Chung West MTR Station; • Enhance the services of MTR; opine that construction of Tung Chung East MTR Station would be necessary if Tung Chung East is planned for development; • Enhance existing transport services e.g. bus, ferry; • Prioritise the needs of locals in the planning of commercial and housing development; • Include more community facilities (e.g. elderly care centre, child care centre, community hall, etc.); • Concern about environmental impact of proposed development, such as reclamation in Tung Chung East, development along Tung Chung River, proposed marina and emissions from expected increase of cross-boundary traffic; and • Request for extension of PE3 period for three months.
740 - 747	Individuals voicing support for proposed development in Tung Chung West		<p>8 standard submissions in support of the proposed development in Tung Chung West were received. The comments are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support proposed development in Tung Chung West; • Appreciate efforts in preserving local community;

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support proposed planning of road networks, and • Support conserving the natural environment of Tung Chun River and proposed Green Belt in the peripheral area.
748 - 910	Individuals commenting on RODP of Tung Chung	<p>The last set of standard submissions comprising 163 submissions was received regarding the RODP of Tung Chung. A summary of points is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urge to increase the development density of Tung Chung West to allow more housing development; • Consider mix-type development in the lands adjoining the proposed Tung Chung West MTR Station to better utilise the convenience of the railway; • Increase the provision of private housing to balance the overall residential development in Tung Chung West, and • Include community, recreation and harbourfront facilities near the residential area for convenience of the locals.
911 - 966	San Tau village	<p>A standard submission comprising 56 submissions were submitted by San Tau Village urging for a better road connection and use of land in Tung Chung West. Major comments include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve road connection to San Tau Village e.g. provision of roads for emergency vehicles, extending the road to other parts of Tung Chung and Lantau; and <p>Object to the designation of Green Belt / Conservation Area in Tung Chung West that could be utilised for provision of community facilities.</p>
967 - 2990	Prajna Dhyana Temple	<p>2,024 standard submissions were received from Prajna Dhyana Temple during PE3. The major points raised in the submissions are as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support zoning of the site of Prajna Dhyana Temple as “G/IC” land use; • Strengthen the existing provision of community services; include more community facilities for Tung Chung residents (e.g. elderly care centre,

			<p>child care centre, shopping centres, library etc.);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the peripheral lands as Green Belt and for promoting organic farming; • Consider better noise mitigation measures and building design for the proposed high-density housing development in Shek Mun Kap; • Strengthen the connection of roads and cycling path; and • Improve the provision of transport and infrastructure (e.g. bus terminal, parking spaces for cars and bicycles etc.).
	2991 - 3998	Individuals commenting on development in Tung Chung East and three villages	<p>A standard submission that consists of 1,007 individual comments on development in Tung Chung East and Three Villages was received during PE3. The details of the issues raised are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Object to reclamation in Tung Chung East; suggest utilising existing land for future development and technical assessments on the environmental impact of reclamation; • Improve connectivity into the Three Villages (e.g. by widening the road into the Three Villages, improving pedestrian footpaths, building a MTR exit at the Olympic trail, utilising proposed Tai Ho Interchange, etc.); • Include more areas for village type development; • Develop community facilities such as better drainage and sewage; and • Request compensation for areas affected by proposed development.
Signature Campaign	238, 239, 399, 4084	黃泥屋村	<p>Four signature campaigns with a total of 68 signatures were submitted by Wong Nai Uk Village, voicing their concerns regarding proposed development. The first campaign collected 21 signatures; the second campaign collected 23 signatures; the third campaign collected 17 signatures and the fourth campaign collected 7 signatures. Their comments are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supported rural development in Tung Chung; • Supported zoning of Wong Nai Uk village as Village Type Development (V zone) to preserve rural characteristics of the area;

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expressed concern about inclusion of Tung Chung Community Services Complex and Tao Yan Church of Evangelical Lutheran Church of Hong Kong in proposed Education land use (E zone); • Opined that the Tao Yan Church of Evangelical Lutheran Church of Hong Kong should be allowed to remain at their current site in Wong Nai Uk Village; • Expressed concern about the possible walled effect at Wong Nai Uk village as it would be surrounded by North Lantau Hospital, Yat Tung Estate and the proposed Residential 2 (R2) development; • Suggested development of more V zone at various locations, such as land lot DD3-1835RP and proposed E zone; • Suggested refining the design of R2 development to maintain the view at the proposed Town Park; and • Requested compensation for villagers affected by the development (e.g. a possible resumption of private land). This included allocation of same size of land in proposed V zone and Agriculture land use for villagers to build small houses and farming.
305	莫家村		<p>Mok Ka Village submitted a signature campaign with 57 signatures. Mok Ka Village generally supported the proposed development in Tung Chung West and proposed some refinements in development in the area. Their views are summarised as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commented on rural development (e.g. maintaining/expanding the boundary of Mok Ka village, using Government land use south of Mok Ka Village for rural development); • Suggested improving the connectivity in Tung Chung West (e.g. widening the proposed road into the village to allow access of emergency vehicles, increasing transport frequency and introducing new modes of transport); • Expressed concern about the effect on environment caused by proposed

			<p>development;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pointed out that Tung Chung River should be preserved, and suggested the extension of proposed Conservation Area along Tung Chung River; • Urged for comprehensive planning of community facilities around Mok Ka village, such as proper drainage and sewerage, more recreational area, increase frequency of pest control, drain clearance and application of herbicide etc.; • Expressed other opinion on proposed land uses in Tung Chung West, such as objection to proposed R2 development near Wong Nai Uk village, zoning of proposed RS sites in Shek Mun Kap into R4, and moving proposed Non-Building Area to the area east of Mok Ka Village, etc.
476 - 480	Prajna Dhyana Temple		<p>The key comments from these campaigns are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supported zoning of the grounds of Prajna Dhyana Temple as Government, Institution or Community Facilities (G/IC) land use; • Supported the proposed Green Belt zoning and Conservation Area (CA zone) along the banks of Tung Chung River; and • Suggested the relocation of proposed housing development to the opposite bank of Tung Chung River to maintain distance between the Temple and nearby proposed housing development so that it could minimise the noise from the daily operation of the Temple and ensure the area is well lighted and ventilated.
481	大澳磡頭村村公所		<p>San Tau Village Village Office submitted a signature campaign with 500 signatures. The key comments are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Called for study on transport connectivity between Tung Chung and nearby rural villages; • Expressed safety concern on current road into San Tau Village due to clashes between cyclists, tourists and local residents; and

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urged for provision/widening of a road that would allow access of emergency vehicles into San Tau Village from Tung Chung New Town.
	4085	Signature campaign from Yat Tung residents	A group of residents from Yat Tung Estate submitted a signature campaign with 24 signatures. In their submission, support for the Tao Yan Church of the Evangelical Lutheran Church of Hong Kong to remain at their current site was voiced and examples of the community activities held at the Church were listed.
	4086	Signature campaign voicing their opposition in relocation of the Tao Yan Church	A signature campaign with 24 signatures was submitted to voice their opposition in relocation of the Tao Yan Church of the Evangelical Lutheran Church of Hong Kong.